

TRIAL BY AGREEMENT
Federal Bar Ass'n
Seattle September 20, 2012
Stephen D. Susman

- I. Most Americans, including lawyers and many appellate judges, think that a jury trial in a civil case is something to be avoided because the result is too unpredictable and the pretrial discovery expense necessary to make it less so, is too burdensome.
 - A. Judicial doctrines like Twombal, Daubert and Mashushita, expansive application of pre-emption and compulsory arbitration, and recent restrictions on class actions, are all reflections of an explicit distrust of jury verdicts.
 - B. So what can be done to make Americans regard the 7th Amendment at least as important as the 2d?

- C. In a word, we have to reduce discovery expense and improve the perception of juror comprehension.
- II. In the mid-90s, I served as the Chair of the Texas Supreme Court's discovery rules committee and while we were among the first states to expressly address e-discovery and deposition abuses, I found the rule-making process slow and frustrating.
 - A. First, the rules normally must accommodate all kinds of cases and all kinds of lawyers. The one-size-fits-all problem is exemplified in your proposed limitation of 3 hours of testimony per side: it will be interesting to see what types of lawyers volunteer what types of cases for such a limited airing.
 - B. Second, because rules remain in place a long time and judges who adopt them are there for life, everyone is fearful of experimentation.

III. So I began thinking about the possibility of trying to get opposing counsel to agree to a set of rules that govern a particular case and that we don't even need the court's permission to adopt.

A. Because I was blessed by being involved only in complex commercial cases and with good opposing counsel, I was able to develop a set of Pretrial Agreements that my firm has been suggesting to opposing counsel for over a decade. The most recent version is in your materials.

1. Some which have been on my list for almost 20 years have either been embodied in the federal rules, like the inability to discover communications between counsel and expert witnesses or prior drafts of expert reports, or facilitated by them, like the ability of a federal

judge to prevent production of privileged documents from constituting a waiver in any other proceeding.

2. Others, like the limitation of depositions to 3 hours and the elimination of expert depositions entirely, are hot off the press.

B. The key has always been to attempt to reach agreement on as many of these as possible before discovery begins. Once you are in the heat of battle, what appears to be good for one side is often deemed to be bad for the other and it is hard to reach agreements.

C. Some of my proposed pretrial agreements are always accepted and some are more controversial. The former will undoubtedly make their way into court rules somewhere some day.

- D. I have never kept a record of how many times a particular para. is agreed to, either as written or modified by opposing counsel, but intend to do so going forward and report the results on my TrialByAgreement website where these and other suggested agreements can be found and debated among trial lawyers and judges.
- E. Because my Pretrial Agreements have worked so well, I have also created a list of possible Trial Agreements, largely intended to improve juror comprehension.
1. The start of discovery is not too early to begin discussing and trying to reach agreement on many of these items—simple things like using a jury questionnaire, a juror notebook and pattern charges where they exist, seem like good ideas at

the start of a case, but may not appear so neutral on the eve of trial.

2. Each one of these Trial Agreements is worthy of a full discussion among experienced trial lawyers and judges.
3. My attitude is to take whatever agreements I can get.

IV. Let's look at them one by one. Go to Power Point

V. Trial by Agreement is a way of reducing expense, stress and the uncertainty of pretrial rulings and a jury trial. Most judges welcome it, and I go around speaking on programs like this to encourage them to urge lawyers to agree on these types of things.

VI. If trial lawyers cooperate, there is no inherent reason that JAMS or the AAA should be winning the dispute resolution competition.

- A. Businesses can incorporate into their contracts agreements to arbitration-like discovery rules to govern preparation for a trial. Alternatively, good trial lawyers can agree to their own streamlined rules.
- B. Without the need to pay judges by the hour and with the availability of appellate review of legal issues, trials have other advantages over arbitrations